1 Good morning. I am very happy to be here, and to have the opportunity to take part in welcoming all of

you here today. It is a great honor to follow Señor Victor, who I've had the pleasure of knowing for a bit

3 more than a year, although Victor and my organization – the Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy, in

the US – have been allies for many years in many fights to support better and fairer trade, farmer

livelihoods, and sustainable agriculture.

6 But Victor and I, personally, met last year at a summit on agroecology, where he emphasized to a group

of other NGO members, foundations, farmer organizations, business people, and researchers the

importance of PEASANT agroecology. Agroecology, which as a scientific field has been around for

between 85 and 100 years, has roots in France, Germany, Latin America, and most recently the United

States. But of course its oldest roots go back to the innovations and struggles of peasants themselves.

But even though many agroecology researchers recognize and emphasize this – it is not enough. It is

important—but relatively easy—to talk about peasant leadership, and the innovations and knowledge

peasants bring from thousands of years of traditional practices and indigenous innovation. But to bring

scientists, agronomists and peasants together as partners is a challenge we "scientific agroecologists"

have perhaps not done enough to confront. Too often we speak of "educating" and "reaching out" to

peasants, and not thought of ourselves as the ones who needed education, who needed to get properly

organized, to show up at the proper times, to show support in the most needed ways, in order to be real

18 allies, real partners...

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

This is not to say that our positions, our offices, laboratories, and research are not vital to solving the multiple problems and crises facing us. But the social and spatial distance often found between the academic or scientific agroecologist and the peasant is more and more becoming a luxury that our struggles cannot afford. Agroecology is on the rise, brought to the attention of policymakers, activists, and other farmers more and more each day, in no small part due to the efforts of groups like ANEC, and our efforts at IATP, and many others here today. And from this rise, we know that the words and concepts of agroecology *have power*. If it did not have power, private and public forces would not be trying to "stop" it—certain actors have asked that agroecology no longer even be mentioned at the international level—or co-opt it by using similar, but often deceptive language for ideas like "Climate Smart" agriculture and supposedly "sustainable intensification." If it cannot be stopped, the commercial and imperial powers have decided, the next best is to take it. We, here, must not let them.

The FAO -- the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations -- at their first international seminar on agroecology in Rome last year, commented that because of agroecology a "window had been opened there, in the Cathedral of the Green Revolution." What they didn't say is that a window allows things to go both ways. We may be starting to make strides into the Cathedral of the Old Guard of Industrial agriculture, but the Old Guard who even now speak of a "Doubly Green Revolution" would be happy to rob the House of Agroecology blind. Their track record—from bad international trade deals, old and new, to supposed "solutions" for farmers in the forms of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers—shows that they are unbothered, if not pleased, to see peasants losing livelihoods, to see the "culture" of agri-culture phased out, to see the variety, community, and potential of peasant economies hollowed out so that they can merely be support for other exploitative economies in cities, for foreign powers, and for financial investors.

The FAO had a regional meeting on agroecology in Latin America in Brasilia this past June. At that meeting, both activists and officials recognized that agroecology required not just new practices, but new policies, new economies. And this requires pressure, mobilization, organization from peasants and their allies. This is especially true as agroecology continues to grow on the international stage, we must continually defend peasant agroecology as not an alternative system—because with the challenges faces us, it will not be a choice. Agroecology, as Dr. Clara Nicholls and other scholars at the FAO meeting in Brasilia emphasized, is not AN option but rather it is the ONLY option. This is a message that hopefully will continue at the next FAO regional meetings this November in Africa and Asia, a message that perhaps we can deliver out of this meeting to present to our brothers and sisters there, in solidarity for uniting scientists and peasants as true allies for THE necessarily peasant Agroecological future.

When we speak of this, we come to a current tension in agroecology—between recognizing the fundamental role of peasants, and what people call "romanticizing" peasant agriculture. That is, pretending that it is a way of life that is always in balance, always wise, and always rewarding. But this, this is the point, is it not? Peasants, like everyone else, are people, with their weak points and their strong ones; with their happy times and their bad ones. The point is not that peasant agriculture is perfect—the point is that it can be, should be, MUST be better—for the peasants themselves and for the

land they tend. Peasant agroecology must involve coming to the peasants, and supporting them as brothers and sisters, side by side. We do not always agree with our real brothers and sisters, remember! Neither are they always right, nor are we (even if, as the oldest sibling, I know we often deep down think we really *are* right). But, for those of us who can, when our brothers or sisters need us, we go to them. When they are treated unfairly, we stand with them—not in front of them, not instead of them, but with them.

This is the power of peasant agroecology and the peasant economy. Unlike the dominant model, it remembers that food is culture; having enough food is independence, autonomy, and power; food and agriculture are about struggle and joy, family AND business, innovating, researching AND honoring and learning from the wisdom of our ancestors. The dominant model cares only how many dollars it brings, not how many people have good, steady work and receive prices and support that allow them to make a living they can pass on to their own children. At its most charitable, it cares about calories, but not quality; not variety; not dignity; not stewardship, sustainability, or sovereignty. Peasant agroecology is about all of these things, and where it does not meet its potential, it is not for us to romanticize it, but rather to engage in the struggle to make it what it can and must be – the only viable way forward.

This focus on the farmer, the peasant, and not just the practices needs to be defended as agroecology grows in the international arena. The focus on peasants as partners is the basis of IATP and ANEC's long history together, and what we need to focus on in the struggles to come. So I am happy to be here to represent IATP on this 20th anniversary of ANEC, and I look forward to not only the next few days of work, but also to looking back, twenty years from now at ANEC's 40th anniversary celebration, on all we have done together, as we take on the challenges before us. As we do more than open a window into the Cathedral of the Green Revolution, but rather, open the whole thing up, to occupy it, as we continue forward on the peasant agroecology revolution.