TRADE SECRE

HOWNEW FREE TRADE AGREEMENTS WOULD UNDERN FOOD SAFE

The pending free trade agreements, the Tran-Pacific Partnership (TPP) and the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), are designed to ensure that food safety regulations do not impede trade. Food safety standards are based on risk assessments: scientific reviews to determine how much of a veterinary drug, pesticide residue or processing chemical in food can be consumed without damage to human health.

Under both the TPP and TTIP, food safety standards that offer a greater level of consumer protection than international standards, even if they conform to the WTO food safety agreement, could be judged to be illegal trade barriers.

image used under Creative Commons license from Flickr user omarsc.

An increasingly industrialized food system with more complex supply chains has created new challenges for preventing illness caused by unsafe food. Powerful agribusiness and food companies have resisted regulation of new food technologies and supply chains. The TPP includes the U.S. and 11 countries in the Asia-Pacific region and the TTIP would govern U.S. trade with the European Union (EU). The TPP texts, previously only available to governments and corporate advisors for six years, were finally made public on November 5. TTIP promoters hope to finish that agreement in 2017.

There is a growing risk that these agreements could overturn weaken or circumvent laws that protect consumers from unsafe food. Food safety issues have been part of trade disputes for decades. The U.S. has tried to overturn EU food safety rules at the World Trade Organization (WTO) The U.S. complained that EU restrictions on planting and importing genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and beef from cattle fed with hormones banned in Europe are not based on science and unfairly restrict trade. TTIP and TPP could grant new legal rights for agribusiness and food companies to sue, or threaten to sue, governments over rules and laws that protect consumers and the environment

Trade Secrets is a series on how the United States' international agreements influence a wide range of policies, laws and corporate activities within our borders and beyond. From food safety to climate change and from labor to consumer protection, trade has an enormous and often invisible pull on the actions governments take and the choices available to citizens. Trade laws often displace hard-won domestic policies.

This series is a collection of primers on trade agreements and how they shape our daily lives, our workplaces and our governments.



iatp.org

Minneapolis



arc2020.eu Brussels

TRADE SECRETS





DEREGULATING GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS (GMOS)

U.S. regulators consider GMOs "substantially equivalent" to other food, not requiring safety testing

or monitoring and consistently approving GM seed deregulation and commercialization. TPP and TTIP approval would allow companies such as Syngenta and Monsanto to sell the same GM seed varieties in all TTIP and TPP member countries more quickly and with greater profits. In the TPP, rules

pertaining to "trade in products of modern biotechnology"

are in the market access chapter—not the food safety chapter—to expedite trade in those products. The U.S. also wants to speed up the EU's rigorous GMO review process to match its own faster process.

Industry groups are also pushing to eliminate Europe's mandatory labeling of GMOs in processed foods, which would impact similar labeling efforts currently underway in many U.S. states. TTIP and TPP rules on labeling could outlaw new and pending GMO labeling laws in U.S. states, as well as in Europe and the prospective TPP member countries.

NANOTECHNOLOGY

in their products. The European Commission has recommended a regulatory definition of nanomaterials and has new rules requiring labeling of food products using nanomaterials.

Nanotechnology is not yet regulated, but the American Chemistry Council insists that TTIP and TPP prevent any regulatory differences that would impede trade involving products that

incorporate nanomaterials.

Nanotechnology uses atomic to molecular-sized materials in industrial, consumer and agricultural products. For example, nanoclays and nano-titanium dioxide incorporated into food packaging would allow meats, fruits and vegetables to appear to be fresher for longer. But, nanomaterials have been shown to pass through cell walls, including the placenta and the blood-brain barrier, raising safety concerns, particularly for nanomaterials that accumulate for long periods in the human body.

No TTIP nor TPP country has agreed on how to risk assess nanomaterials, even though many manufacturers already claim to use nanomaterials and/or apply nanotechnologies

MEAT AND POULTRY STANDARDS

Agribusinesses are targeting EU food safety standards for elimination, including regulations on hormone residues on

meat and chemical rinses used to decontaminate poultry loaded with harmful bacteria, both of which are allowed under U.S. law.

Ractopamine, a failed asthma drug, is now used in the U.S. to produce leaner pork. However, the very controversial international safety standard for Ractopamine was

based on a risk assessment reviewing just six studies, three provided by the drug's manufacturer. The drug is banned or restricted in 160 countries. The U.S. is pushing to eliminate those restrictions, despite the conflict of interest and the out of date science used in the risk assessment of Ractopamine.

The EU doesn't allow imports of poultry rinsed in diluted chlorine, instead requiring that it be produced safely from "Farm to Fork." U.S. poultry processors' use of chlorine rinses enables production line speeds of up to 140 birds per minute. U.S. farm and labor groups are fighting that practice, which results in line worker injuries and risks to worker and inspector health from breathing chlorine fumes. The U.S. TTIP proposal for food safety "equivalence" would require EU member states to allow import of products from these dangerous processes.

Endnotes available at iatp.org/tradesecrets.

